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The width of the decay \( \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau \) was calculated in the extended NJL model. Contact interaction of \( W \) boson with a pion pair as well as the contribution of the \( \rho \) mesons in the ground and first radial-excited states are taken into account. The sum of the contact diagram and diagram with intermediate \( \rho \) meson in the ground state leads to the result which coincides with the result of the vector-dominance model. Our results are in satisfactory agreement with experimental data.

In this paper, a theoretical description of the decay \( \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau \) was given in the framework of the NJL model with intermediate vector mesons in the ground and first radial-excitation state. Firstly, the diagram with intermediate \( W \) boson (contact diagram) and the diagram with the transition of \( W \) boson into \( \rho(770) \) meson in the standard NJL model were calculated [12–20]. Then an additional diagram with radial-excited \( \rho' \) meson was calculated [\textsuperscript{1}E-mail: volkov@theor.jinr.ru; \textsuperscript{2}E-mail: kostunin@theor.jinr.ru]
calculated in the extended NJL model [20–23]. Let us note that the result of calculation of the first two diagrams coincides with the results obtained in the vector-dominance model [6]. The contribution of the radial-excited intermediate \( \rho \) meson to the amplitude of the decay \( \tau \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau \) is also in satisfactory agreement with recent experimental data [2–4].

**AMPLITUDE AND WIDTH OF \( \tau \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau \) DECAY**

The amplitude of the \( \tau \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau \) decay is described in the NJL model by the Feynman diagrams given in Figs. 1 and 2.

![Fig. 1. Contact interaction of \( W^- \) boson with a pion pair](image1)

![Fig. 2. Interaction with intermediate \( \rho (\rho') \) meson](image2)

![Fig. 3. Triangle diagrams with \( \pi - a_1 \) transitions](image3)

For description of the \( W^- \pi^- \pi^0 \) vertex, one can use the result for the \( \rho \rightarrow \pi \pi \) decay amplitude with the accounting of \( \pi - a_1 \) transitions. The amplitude of the \( \rho \rightarrow \pi \pi \) is

\[
g_\rho \left( Z + (1 - Z) + (f_{a_1}(p^2) - 1) \right) \mu^\pi(p^- - p^\pi^0)\pi^- \pi^0, \tag{1}
\]

\[
f_{a_1}(p^2) = 1 + \left( \frac{p^2 - m_{\pi}^2}{(g_\rho F_\pi)^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{Z} \right), \tag{2}
\]

where \( p \) is the \( \rho \) meson momentum; \( p^- \) and \( p^\pi^0 \) are the outgoing pion momenta; \( g_\rho \approx 6.14 \) is the decay constant of \( \rho \rightarrow \pi \pi \); \( F_\pi = 93 \text{ MeV} \) is the pion decay constant; \( Z = (1 - \)}
It is the additional renormalizing factor which appeared after accounting of π→a₁ transitions; \( m_a = 280 \text{ MeV} \) is the constituent quark mass; \( m_{a_1} = 1230 \text{ MeV} \) is the mass of the \( a_1 \) meson; \( m_\pi \) is the mass of the \( \pi \) meson.

The first term of this amplitude corresponds to triangle diagram without \( \pi \rightarrow a_1 \) transitions, the second term corresponds to diagram with \( \pi \rightarrow a_1 \) transition on one of the pion lines and the third term corresponds to the diagram with transitions on the both pion lines. For description of the \( W^-\pi^-\pi^0 \) vertex, \( g_\rho \) should be changed into \( G_F|V_{ud}| \), where \( G_F = 1.16637 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ MeV}^{-2} \) is the Fermi constant; \( |V_{ud}| = 0.97428 \) is the Cabibbo angle cosine. For the first diagram we get

\[
T_1 = G_F|V_{ud}| f_{a_1}(p^2) l_\mu (p_{\pi^-} - p_{\pi^0}) \pi^-\pi^0,
\]

where \( l_\mu = \bar{\nu}_\tau \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \tau \) is the lepton current.

The second diagram with the intermediate \( \rho^- \) meson contains three parts.

The first part describes the transition of \( W^- \) into \( \rho^- \). For this part, one can use the form describing a transition of photon into \( \rho \) meson calculated in [14]. In this form, charge \( e \) should be changed into \( G_F|V_{ud}| \). We get

\[
\frac{G_F|V_{ud}|}{g_\rho} (g^{\mu\nu} p^2 - p^\mu p^\nu),
\]

where \( p = p_\tau - p_\nu \) is the \( \rho \)-meson momentum.

The \( \rho \)-meson propagator has the form

\[
g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{m_\rho^2 - p^2 - i \sqrt{p^2} \Gamma_\rho(p^2)},
\]

where \( \Gamma(m_\rho^2) = 149.1 \text{ MeV} \) is the full width of the \( \rho^- \)-meson decay.

The last vertex corresponds to the \( \rho^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0 \) decay through quark loop:

\[
g_\rho f_{a_1}(p^2) \bar{\rho}_{\mu\nu} (p_{\pi^-} - p_{\pi^0}) \pi^-\pi^0.
\]

This amplitude takes the form

\[
T_2 = \frac{G_F|V_{ud}| f_{a_1}(p^2)p^2}{m_\rho^2 - p^2 - i \sqrt{p^2} \Gamma_\rho(p^2)} l_\mu (p_{\pi^-} - p_{\pi^0}) \pi^-\pi^0.
\]

The sum of these two diagrams has the form close to the vector meson dominance expression:

\[
T_\rho = \frac{G_F|V_{ud}| f_{a_1}(p^2)m_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2 - p^2 - i \sqrt{p^2} \Gamma_\rho(p^2)} \left( 1 - i \sqrt{p^2} \Gamma_\rho(p^2) \right) l_\mu (p_{\pi^-} - p_{\pi^0}) \pi^-\pi^0.
\]

Let us consider the last part of the amplitude. It contains the intermediate radial-excited \( \rho^- \) meson. The extended NJL model [21,22] should be used in this case. The probability of

\footnote{Note that the last term was not taken into account in [14], because only constant terms were considered in that work.}
the transition of $W^-$ into $\rho^-(1450)$ meson can be calculated using the result for the photon transition into $\rho$ meson given in [24]. Also, in this form charge $e$ should be changed into $G_F|V_{ud}|$. After that we should get

$$C_{W\rho'} \frac{G_F|V_{ud}|}{g_\rho}(g_{\mu'\nu} p^2 - p\rho'\rho),$$

where

$$C_{W\rho'} = -\left(\frac{\cos(\beta + \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + \beta \frac{\cos(\beta - \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\right),$$

where $\beta_0 = 61.44^\circ$, $\beta = 79.85^\circ$ are the mixing angles; $\Gamma = 0.54$ was defined in [23].

The propagator of the radial-excited $\rho$ meson reads

$$g_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{m_{\rho'}^2 - p^2 - i\sqrt{p^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}}(p^2)}{m_{\rho'}^2 - p^2 - i\sqrt{p^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}}(p^2)}\right),$$

where $m_{\rho'} = 1465$ MeV is the mass of the radial-excited $\rho$ meson; $\Gamma_{\rho'}(m_{\rho'}^2) = 400$ MeV is its full width.

The $\rho' \to \pi\pi$ decay was considered in detail in [23]. The amplitude of this process can be written as

$$C_{\rho'\pi\pi} f_{\rho}(p^2)(p_{\pi-}^\mu - p_{\pi0}^\mu)\pi^-\pi^0,$$

$$C_{\rho'\pi\pi} = -\left(\frac{\cos(\beta + \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} g_{\rho1} + \frac{\cos(\beta - \beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} \frac{I_2^f}{I_2 g_{\rho2}}\right),$$

where $g_{\rho1} = 6.14$, $g_{\rho2} = 10.56$ and definitions of $I_2^f$, $I_2$ were given in [23].

Our model cannot describe relative phase between $\rho(770)$ and $\rho(1450)$. Thus, we should get phase from $e^+e^-$ annihilation and $\tau$ decays experiments: $T_{\rho'} = e^{i\pi}T_{\rho'}$. For the part of the amplitude of the $\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$ decay containing the intermediate radial-excited $\rho$ meson, one can get

$$T_{\rho'} = e^{i\pi} G_F|V_{ud}| C_{W\rho'} C_{\rho'\pi\pi}(1/g_{\rho}) f_{\rho}(p^2)\left(\frac{p_{\pi-}^\mu - p_{\pi0}^\mu}{m_{\rho'}^2 - p^2 - i\sqrt{p^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}}(p^2)}\right).$$

The sum of these three amplitudes is

$$T = G_F|V_{ud}| f_{\rho}(p^2) m_{\rho}' \left(\frac{1 - i\sqrt{q^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}(p^2)}/m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\rho}^2 - p^2 - i\sqrt{p^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}(p^2)}} + \frac{e^{i\pi} C_{W\rho'} C_{\rho'\pi\pi}(1/g_{\rho}) p^2/m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\rho'}^2 - p^2 - i\sqrt{p^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}(p^2)}}\right)\left(p_{\pi-}^\mu - p_{\pi0}^\mu\right)\mu^-\pi^0.\quad(15)$$

After using the expression for the decay width, we get $B(\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau) = 24.76\%$. This value is in satisfactory agreement with experimental data (see table).

The Kuhn–Santamaria model [7] was used for treatment of experimental data. In this model the pion form factor reads

$$\frac{1}{1 + \beta \left(\frac{m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\rho'}^2 - p^2 - i\sqrt{p^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}(p^2)}} + \beta \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\rho'}^2 - p^2 - i\sqrt{p^2 \Gamma_{\rho'}(p^2)}}\right)},$$

where $\beta$ is a parameter taken from fitting of experimental data.
Experimental and theoretical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B(\tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau})$, %</td>
<td>25.32 ± 0.15</td>
<td>25.471 ± 0.097 ± 0.085</td>
<td>25.24 ± 0.01 ± 0.39</td>
<td>24.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>-0.108 ± 0.007</td>
<td>-0.097 ± 0.006</td>
<td>-0.15 ± 0.05 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our model we can get an approximate value for $\beta$ at $p^2 = m_{\rho'}^2$:

$$\beta \approx e^{i\pi} C_{W \rho'} C_{\rho' \pi \pi} / g_{\rho} \approx -0.086.$$  (17)

This value is in satisfactory agreement with experimental data given in [2–4] (see table).

We note that the $\rho'$-meson influence on the decay width is small. In fact, the contribution to the decay width from the two first diagrams $B_{1,2}(\tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}) = 24.68\%$. This value increased by 0.32% after the inclusion of the $\rho'$-meson contribution. This allowed us to describe differential width in the interval of the pion pair invariant mass above 1 GeV.

CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that results obtained in the framework of the NJL model satisfactorily describe the $\tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decay. This statement relates the description of both the partial decay width and the differential decay width. The value of the $\beta$ parameter computed in the NJL model framework is in quality agreement with the one obtained from the fit of experimental data. Notice that results were obtained in the NJL model with a minimum number of parameters. We are going to describe processes $e^+ e^- \to \pi\pi(\pi')$ and $\tau^- \to \pi^- \omega(\phi) \nu_{\tau}$ in the framework of the same model in future.
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Note added in proof. After submission of the paper we have published new papers [25–27] on the similar problem.
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