

E3-2017-72

N. A. Nguyen¹, X. N. Nguyen¹, D. K. Pham², D. C. Vu^{3,4},
L. V. Mitsyna⁴, A. M. Sukhovej⁴

THE BREAKING THRESHOLDS OF COOPER NUCLEON
PAIRS AND FEATURES OF THE DECAY OF
¹⁷²Yb NUCLEUS IN THE ¹⁷¹Yb(*n*_{th}, 2γ) REACTION

Submitted to “Yadernaya Fizika”

¹ Dalat Nuclear Research Institute, 1 Nguyen Tu Luc, Dalat, Vietnam

² Hanoi University of Science and Technology, 1 Dai Co Viet, Hanoi city, Vietnam

³ Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology Institute of Physics, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁴ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna

Нгуен Н. А. и др.

E3-2017-72

Пороги разрыва куперовских пар нуклонов и особенности распада ядра ^{172}Yb в реакции $^{171}\text{Yb}(n_{\text{th}}, 2\gamma)$

С целью расширения базы экспериментальных данных по интенсивностям каскадов из двух последовательно испущенных гамма-квантов радиационного захвата тепловых нейтронов исследована реакция $^{171}\text{Yb}(n_{\text{th}}, 2\gamma)$. При анализе интенсивностей каскадов было выявлено изменение структуры наблюдаемых уровней ядра ^{172}Yb в зависимости от энергии возбуждения и определены вероятные пороги разрыва четырех куперовских пар нейтронов ниже энергии связи нейтрона.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории нейтронной физики им. И. М. Франка ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна, 2017

Nguyen N. A. et al.

E3-2017-72

The Breaking Thresholds of Cooper Nucleon Pairs and Features of the Decay of ^{172}Yb Nucleus in the $^{171}\text{Yb}(n_{\text{th}}, 2\gamma)$ Reaction

For the purpose of enhancement of the experimental data set on the cascade intensities of two gamma quanta emitted step by step after radiative capture of thermal neutrons, the $^{171}\text{Yb}(n_{\text{th}}, 2\gamma)$ reaction was investigated. In the analysis of the cascade intensities a structure change of the observed levels of the ^{172}Yb nucleus was discovered depending on the excitation energy, and the most probable breaking thresholds were obtained for four Cooper pairs of neutrons below neutron binding energy.

The investigation has been performed at the Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 2017

INTRODUCTION

The nucleus with even number of neutrons (and protons) is, in the ground state, a system of pairing nucleons and becomes the system of excited fermions at its excitation in the process of pair breaking. An investigation of this process' dynamics promotes obtaining the principally new information about fundamental interactions occurring in the nucleus.

In representation about the nuclear superfluidity, which is generally accepted among experimenters, the excited nucleus is considered as a statistic fermion system. And now as before, this representation is applied in the experimental analysis, even at using a state-of-the-art instrumentation and at the modern status of the theory of nucleus. Theorists exploit a representation about "pair correlations of superconductive type", at least, in an excitation region above the experimentally obtained energies of rotational and vibrational excitations.

Unfortunately, a multiple increase in errors of the extracted experimental parameters (level density ρ and widths Γ of emission of gamma quanta), which exists due to large coefficients of transfer to them of errors of the measured spectra (or cross sections), hampers the progress in understanding the intranuclear processes.

In the method realized in Dubna [1] for measuring the intensities of two-step cascades at γ decay of neutron resonances, the most probable values of both the level density ρ of intermediate levels in compound nucleus and the partial widths Γ of emission of reaction products are determined simultaneously. It allows one to study change dynamics in the behavior of superfluid phase of the nuclear matter at an increase in the excitation energy of the nucleus, since the energies of three cascade levels (initial, intermediate and final ones) as well as the probabilities of transmissions between them are determined in the investigated $(n, 2\gamma)$ reaction with a high accuracy.

The correlation always exists between the obtained parameters ρ and Γ (or radiative strength functions $k = \Gamma/(A^{2/3} \cdot E_\gamma^3 \cdot D_\lambda)$, where A is the mass of nucleus, D_λ is an average space between its levels, and E_γ is the energy of emitted γ quantum). Nevertheless, at the existence of the total system of equations, which connect the ρ and Γ values in each point of the excitation energy E_{ex} , these two values could be calculated exactly. But because of a lack of experimental infor-

mation, a simultaneous determination of ρ and Γ values from the experimental data is possible only with the use of different appropriate model representations of $\rho(E_{\text{ex}})$ and $\Gamma(E_\gamma)$ functions. At that, an uncertainty, which exists due to a correlation between ρ and Γ values, is converted into systematical errors in the tested correlated models for $\rho(E_{\text{ex}})$ and $\Gamma(E_\gamma)$ functions.

When analysing the $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma)$ intensities of the two-step cascades of γ transitions, measured by now for 43 nuclei in the mass region $28 \leq A \leq 200$, it turned out that the experimental information for each investigated nucleus is individual. It completely corresponds to the modern theories of fragmentation dynamics of nuclear states (the wave functions of excited nuclear levels are formed in the fragmentation process of states of nuclear potential with different quantum numbers and various positions relative to Fermi surface) [2, 3].

The theoretical description of a structure change in the complex nuclei in the energy range from the ground state up to neutron resonances can be inquired for, for example, in [4]. Although there is no yet comprehensive knowledge about an interaction between Fermi and Bose states in the nucleus, on the basis of the analysis of the cascades' intensities $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma)$ measured for stable target nuclei with different P/N ratio (P is the number of protons, N is the number of neutrons) quite a realistic picture of nuclear changeover to fermion system at the excitation energy $E_{\text{ex}} < B_n$ (B_n is the neutron binding energy in the nucleus) already exists. In particular, the strength functions, obtained for pairs of nuclei with the same P and various N (^{156}Gd and ^{158}Gd , ^{188}Os and ^{190}Os), are essentially different, and the level densities for such pairs of nuclei differ to a lesser degree.

The existence of two phases of nuclear matter in the nucleus and their interaction indicate that the partial widths of gamma transitions are determined by the wave functions with different contributions of vibrational and quasi-particle components, so regarding them the Porter–Thomas hypothesis [5] cannot be applied, by a definition.

The investigations [6–10] showed that both the number of breaking Cooper pairs of nucleons and the shape of excited nucleus [8] have an influence on the dynamics of the superfluid phase of nuclear matter. Widening of the region of investigated nuclei and, in that way, a numerical growth of experimental data will help to make clear the details of interaction between fermion and boson nuclear states.

1. EXPERIMENT

The experiment with the sample of ytterbium as a target nucleus was carried out at the DDNR reactor (Dalat, Vietnam). The sample of 0.5 g mass contained 0.45 g of ^{171}Yb and was irradiated during 830 hours in “closed” [1] geometry with a distance between the target and the detector of about 5 cm. Cascades were

recorded by HPGe detectors with an efficiency of 35% relative to the efficiency of NaI(Tl) crystal (72 mm in diameter and of the same height). The recording threshold for a cascade gamma transition was chosen 0.52 MeV.

An informative part of the spectrum of amplitudes' sums of coincident pulses for the $^{171}\text{Yb}(n_{\text{th}}, 2\gamma)^{172}\text{Yb}$ reaction is presented in Fig. 1. In Figs. 2 and 3 the spectra of the cascades to the ground state and to the first excited level with the energy $E_f = 78$ keV are shown. The algorithm is used for a digital improvement of resolution [11], according to which a deviation of the sum of γ -quanta energies from its average for any cascade is divided proportionally to the widths of the cascade peaks and then subtracted from (or added to) the energies of primary E_1 and secondary E_2 transitions of each recorded event of the total absorption of the cascade energy by the detectors. The spectra of the intensities (Figs. 2 and 3) are normalized by the recording efficiency of corresponding cascades with a storing of the total number of events. The energies and the relative intensities were determined for all experimentally resolved peaks for 386 cascades.

In the analysis the spectra of the experimental intensities of the cascades (Figs. 2 and 3), using the technique [1, 12] and the nuclear spectroscopy methods [13], are transformed [14] into two mirror-symmetrical distributions of intensities of primary $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ and secondary $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_2)$ transitions. The parameters p and q of the most probable functions $\rho = \varphi(p_1, p_2, \dots)$ and $\Gamma = \psi(q_1, q_2, \dots)$ were determined by fitting a model description of the cascade intensity $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ to the experimental one. The physical information about the nucleus is obtained




Fig. 1. Spectrum of the sums of amplitudes of coincident pulses for the $^{171}\text{Yb}(n_{\text{th}}, 2\gamma)^{172}\text{Yb}$ reaction. Along the X axis is the energy of the cascades; Y axis is the number of recorded events with the total energy $E_1 + E_2 > 6500$ keV. The energies of the final levels of the cascades (in keV) are pointed near the peaks of the total energy absorption




Fig. 2. Intensity distribution for the cascades to the ground state of the ^{172}Yb nucleus depending on the energies of the primary and secondary quanta. An efficiency of the cascade recording is taken into account




Fig. 3. Intensity distribution for the cascades to the level with the energy $E_f = 78$ keV of ^{172}Yb depending on the energy of the primary and secondary quanta

from the experiment analysis when comparing some of the model representations. There are no other ways to obtain simultaneously the level density and the radiative strength functions from the gamma spectra of the decay of highly excited levels. Any gamma spectrum can be described, if only the energies, quanta numbers in the cascades and the total number of gamma transitions are correctly determined.

A cascade decay of a neutron resonance (or any compound state) λ occurs through the intermediate levels i to the final levels f . Spins and parities of the intermediate levels of different cascades are determined by the selection rules on multipolarity. The part of primary transitions $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ for any small energy interval ΔE_j of cascades may be presented by the equation

$$I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1) = \sum_{\lambda,f} \sum_i \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda i}}{\Gamma_{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_{if}}{\Gamma_i} = \sum_{\lambda,f} \sum_j \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda j}}{\langle \Gamma_{\lambda j} \rangle M_{\lambda j}} n_j \frac{\Gamma_{jf}}{\langle \Gamma_{jf} \rangle m_{jf}}. \quad (1)$$

The sum of the partial widths of the primary transitions $\sum_j \Gamma_{\lambda j}$ to $M_{\lambda j}$ intermediate levels is $\langle \Gamma_{\lambda j} \rangle M_{\lambda j}$, and the sum $\sum_j \Gamma_{jf}$ for the secondary transition to m_{jf} final levels is $\langle \Gamma_{jf} \rangle m_{jf}$, inasmuch as $\langle \Gamma_{\lambda j} \rangle = \sum_j \Gamma_{\lambda j} / M_{\lambda j}$ and $\langle \Gamma_{jf} \rangle = \sum_j \Gamma_{jf} / m_{jf}$. In the small energy interval ΔE_j a number of intermediate levels of all types is $n_j = \rho \Delta E_j$.

As equipment possibilities to determine the parameters of all cascades are absent, the necessary information for solving the system (1) is extracted from the fits of model descriptions of the intensities for all the observed γ transitions to their experimental intensities. Any intensity distribution of two-step cascades (see Figs. 2 and 3) contains only peaks of the full capture of the cascade energy and a noise background with a zero average [1]. A dispersion of a background line is effectively diminished at an increase in the number of events of recording the total energy of the cascade.

Taking into account the practical absence of a background, the equality of areas of the peaks of primary and secondary transitions (for each individual cascade) and the mirror symmetry of their positions in relation to the spectrum center $0.5(E_1 + E_2)$ of all cascades, we subtracted all peaks of intense low-energy secondary transitions from the energy interval of the intermediate levels $E_i \geq 0.5B_n$. The rest of intensity in this interval (a continuous distribution of intensity of a large number of low-energy primary cascade gamma quanta) in sum with intense resolved primary transitions from the energy interval $E_i \leq 0.5B_n$ is just the most probable distribution $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$.

Of course, if a part of peaks near the energy $0.5(E_1 + E_2)$, where there is a mixture of inseparable primary and secondary transitions, is inaccurately identified, the obtained function $I_{\gamma\gamma} = f(E_1)$ distorts. But the part of the intensity of secondary transitions which are mistakenly included in $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ and the part of the intensity of primary transitions mistakenly included in $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_2)$ are equal to each other. At that, a possible distortion of the shape of $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ distribution in a small energy region of the primary transitions near $0.5B_n$ decreases with an increase in statistics.

In solving the system (1) the average partial width of transitions of the same type and the level density in the branching coefficients ($\Gamma_{\lambda j} / \langle \Gamma_{\lambda j} \rangle M_{\lambda j}$) for the primary transitions and $\Gamma_{jf} / \langle \Gamma_{jf} \rangle m_{\lambda j}$ for the secondary transitions) were

fitted in small energy intervals ΔE_j . The large statistics of events and the small background under peaks of full capture of the cascades' energies $E_1 + E_2 = 8020$ keV and $E_1 + E_2 = 7942$ keV allowed us to accept for ^{172}Yb the width of energy interval for summation of experimental intensity $\Delta E_j = 250$ keV (two times less than for all nuclei investigated before). So, the shape of $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ function was obtained with a better accuracy for ^{172}Yb in comparison with the other 43 nuclei [8, 10].

The resolved peaks with widths of $\sim 2-4$ keV for the cascades with $E_f = 0$ and $E_f = 78$ keV were 70% and 67% of the total area of the spectra, correspondingly (see Figs. 2 and 3). According to [12], the primary quantum in two or more cascades with different E_f is as a rule the cascade transition of a bigger energy. This condition with the use of the likelihood method allowed us to make an independent and correct scheme of the decay of initial cascade level, in addition to the data of the ENDSF file.

The absolute intensity of primary transitions of the investigated reaction was determined from the intensities of gamma rays of the $^{171}\text{Yb} + ^{27}\text{Al}$ complex target. The obtained data for the cascade $E_1 + E_2 = (5540 + 2402)$ keV coincide with the data of [15] within a few percent.

2. THE DECAY SCHEME FOR ^{172}Yb

Spectra of the sums of amplitudes of coincident pulses were obtained not only for the cascades to the ground state and to the first excited level of ^{172}Yb , but also for final levels of the cascades with the energies $E_f = 1042.7, 1117.4, 1155.9$ and 1197.3 keV. Unfortunately, the large background under peaks of the cascades with $E_f > 78$ keV (because of events of recording of only part of the cascade energy) did not allow one to obtain for them such quality spectroscopic information as was done for the cascades with $E_f = 0$ and $E_f = 78$ keV.

In the present experiment for the cascades of the biggest intensity the errors in the determination of $I_{\gamma\gamma}$ values were mainly much lower than 30% (at that error the cascade peak was assumed to be resolved). In the majority of cases, the procedure [12] shows that the primary transition triggers some (at least, two) secondary transitions, which (taken together with the data of [15]) allowed the primary transitions to be identified with a strong probability.

In order to determine the parameters of the nuclear superfluidity in the excited nucleus [16], the independent ρ values in two energy regions are needed: at $E_{\text{ex}} \approx 1-3$ MeV and at $E_{\text{ex}} \approx B_n$. The accuracy of ρ determination at these energies is bounded because of a loss of resonances with small neutron widths and an omission of low-lying levels, which are weakly excited in the nuclear reaction or have a big background. But it is worthy of note that in the method of two-step cascades investigation there are no main sources of background, which exist in the ordinary (one-step) experiments. So, background conditions in the

case of two-step cascades are practically independent of the excitation energy of the nucleus, which allows determining the density of its “discrete” levels with a smaller (as compared with other nuclear reactions [13]) threshold of gamma-quanta recording.

Radiative capture of thermal neutrons by the stable target nucleus ^{171}Yb limits the number of spins of levels, the density of which is needed to determine, by the interval $0 \leq J \leq 3$. The densities of “discrete” levels of ^{172}Yb obtained in different experiments for all spins and parities are presented in Fig.4 in the energy region of 2–4 MeV. Approximation of ρ and Γ values was done here for the cascades with the total energies 8020 and 7982 keV only. Up to an excitation energy of $E_{\text{ex}} = 3$ MeV, the densities of low-lying intermediate levels of the cascades to the final levels with $E_f = 0$ and $E_f = 78$ keV from the present experiment coincide quite well with the values predicted by the back shifted Fermi-gas model [17]. The same is true for level densities from ENDSF file and from the experiment [15] with a neutron beam of 2 keV energy. But at a growth of the excitation energy the number of “discrete” levels descend faster than is predicted by the Fermi-gas model.




Fig. 4. The dependences of the level density for ^{172}Yb on the excitation energy. Dashed line — level density predicted by the model from [17] for spins $0 \leq J \leq 3$ and both parities. Open points — number of “discrete” levels obtained in the present experiment. Full points with errors — densities from the best fits to the experimental cascade intensities. Stars — calculation from the spectrum of resolved gamma transitions after a capture of neutrons with the energy $E_n = 2$ keV [15]. Solid lines denoted by numbers are density of vibrational levels above the breaking thresholds of three Cooper pairs, calculated according to (3) with fitted parameters

In the framework of the existing theoretical representations about the deformed heavy nucleus, the excitation energy of 2–2.5 MeV is approximately equal to the breaking energy of the first Cooper pair 2Δ (Δ is the pairing energy of the last nucleon in the nucleus). But a small level density at the energy of about 2Δ prevents us noticing the breaking threshold of the first Cooper pair, which is expected at this energy (according to a redistribution of the excitation energy). Nevertheless, for a quantitative description of the total cascade intensity, it is necessary to take into account in the fittings the existence of vibrational levels below the breaking threshold of the second Cooper pair.

3. THE EMPIRICAL MODEL OF THE GAMMA DECAY

In order to extract reliable experimental information about the behavior of the superfluid phase of nuclear matter it is necessary:

1) to measure the intensity of gamma cascades to the low-lying levels of the investigated nucleus (to the ground state and to a group of levels with small energies);

2) to ensure the best description of measured spectra at a simultaneous fitting of the parameters of both the level density and the partial radiative widths.

The principal problem for a study of superfluidity in the excited nucleus is a choice of model representations for reliable description of the investigated process. To obtain the level density and the partial widths of the products of the nuclear reaction, in the majority of the world's experiments, the models are used which are based on the calculations of different spectra and cross sections at large excitation energies [17–19]. The analysis of the experimental data created by now on the intensities of the two-step cascades for 43 nuclei showed a worthlessness (for a valid description of the processes in the nucleus) of an obsolete representation that the nucleus is a system of uninteracting Fermi particles.

In the absence of correct theoretical models we used our empirical model, including the different realistic phenomenological representations. But it is illegal to use even generally accepted representations about the parameters of the investigated process without experimental testing. Otherwise, the principle errors will inevitably appear.

For the level density description in the present analysis the model of density of n -quasi-particle nuclear excitations [19,20], which is commonly used in a study of the preequilibrium reactions, was parameterized. The density ρ_l of levels of fermion type above the expected breaking threshold of the l th Cooper pair was

written as

$$\rho_l = \frac{(2J+1) \cdot \exp(-(J+1/2)^2/2\sigma^2)}{2\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^3} \Omega_n(E_{\text{ex}}), \quad (2)$$

$$\Omega_n(E_{\text{ex}}) = \frac{g^n (E_{\text{ex}} - U_l)^{n-1}}{((n/2)!)^2 (n-1)!}.$$

Here Ω_n is the density of n -quasi-particle states, σ is a factor of spin cutting, J is the spin of the compound state of nucleus, g is the density of single-particle states near Fermi surface, and U_l is the breaking energy of the l th Cooper pair (or the energy of an excitation of a pair of quasi-particles).

For a description of the coefficient C_{coll} of an increase in the density of collective levels, a phenomenological relation between the entropies of nuclear matter phases was used [16] with taking into account a cyclical break of Cooper pairs:

$$C_{\text{coll}} = A_l \exp\left(\sqrt{(E_{\text{ex}} - U_l)/E_u} - (E_{\text{ex}} - U_l)/E_u\right) + \beta. \quad (3)$$

Here A_l are fitting parameters of the vibrational level density above the breaking point of each l th Cooper pair, the parameter $\beta \geq 0$ can differ from 0 for deformed nuclei. The parameter E_u (a rate of a change in densities of quasi-particle and phonon levels) is practically equal to the average pairing energy of the last nucleon in the majority of investigated nuclei [6–10].

As was experimentally determined earlier [21], a correct description of the intensities of the two-step cascades is possible only if one or two peaks are added to the smooth energy dependence of the radiative strength functions of $E1$ and $M1$ transitions. The smooth parts of the energy dependences $k(E1, E_\gamma)$ and $k(M1, E_\gamma)$ were described as in the model [18] with additional fitted parameters of weight w_E (or w_M) and of a change of derivatives of the strength function κ_E (or κ_M) (indices E and M refer to $E1$ or $M1$ transitions, correspondingly). And in order to take into account the local peaks, one or two summands were added to the smooth parts of the strength function. In the present analysis the asymmetric Lorentzian curve was used for description of the shape of each local peak. So, the $k(E1, E_\gamma)$ and $k(M1, E_\gamma)$ strength functions were expressed similarly as

$$k(E1, E_\gamma) = w_E \frac{\Gamma_{\text{GE}}^2 (E_\gamma^2 + \kappa_E 4\pi^2 T_E^2)}{(E_\gamma^2 - E_{\text{GE}}^2)^2 + E_{\text{GE}}^2 \Gamma_{\text{GE}}^2} + \sum_i W_{Ei} \frac{(E_\gamma^2 + (\alpha_{Ei}(E_{Ei} - E_\gamma)/E_\gamma)) \Gamma_{Ei}^2}{(E_\gamma^2 - E_{Ei}^2)^2 + E_\gamma^2 \Gamma_{Ei}^2}, \quad (4)$$

$$k(M1, E_\gamma) = w_M \frac{\Gamma_{GM}^2 (E_\gamma^2 + \kappa_M 4\pi^2 T_M^2)}{(E_\gamma^2 - E_{GM}^2)^2 + E_{GM}^2 \Gamma_{GM}^2} + \sum_i W_{Mi} \frac{(E_\gamma^2 + (\alpha_{Mi} (E_{Mi} - E_\gamma)/E_\gamma)) \Gamma_{Mi}^2}{(E_\gamma^2 - E_{Mi}^2)^2 + E_\gamma^2 \Gamma_{Mi}^2}, \quad (5)$$

where E_{GE} (or E_{GM}) and Γ_{GE} (or Γ_{GM}) are location of the center and width of the maximum of the giant dipole resonance, T_E (or T_M) is a varied nuclear thermodynamic temperature for $E1$ (or $M1$) transitions. And for each i th peak ($i \leq 2$) of the strength functions of $E1$ (or $M1$) transition, E_{Ei} (or E_{Mi}) is a center position, Γ_{Ei} (or Γ_{Mi}) is width, W_{Ei} (or W_{Mi}) is amplitude, and α_{Ei} (or α_{Mi}) $\sim T^2$ is an asymmetry parameter. A necessity of taking into account a peak asymmetry in the radiative strength function results both from the model [18] and from the theoretical analysis of features of the fragmentation of single-particle states in the nuclear potential [2]. At the fitting the functions (4) and (5) are appreciably varied.

The shell inhomogeneities of a single-particle spectrum were also taken into account in the present analysis (as in [9]).

A comparison of the level density obtained from spectra of evaporated nucleons [22] with the data of analysis of the cascade intensities [23] shows their sizeable distortion in the energy points of the Cooper pairs breaking. The disappearance of a phonon and appearance of an additional pair of quasi-particles must noticeably change the level density. From the existence of the smooth evaporated spectra it follows that a change in the level density (at breaks of the Cooper pairs in the nucleus) must be compensated by a change in the strength functions at the same excitation energies. And the resonance structure of the strength functions, experimentally discovered [24] near the point of the second Cooper pair breaking (at an excitation energy of about 3–4 MeV), which was interpreted by the existence of a “pygmy” resonance in the nucleus, must be accompanied by a change in the level density. To verify this reasonable assumption, we introduced into Eqs. (4) and (5) the compensation coefficients M [6, 25]:

$$M = \rho_{\text{mod}}/\rho_{\text{exp}}. \quad (6)$$

Here ρ_{mod} is the level density calculated using the Fermi-gas model, ρ_{exp} is the level density obtained at the experimental intensity description. For the strength functions (4) and (5) the coefficients M are fitted separately.

The compensation parameters M introduce some unaccounted anti-correlation between ρ and Γ , which was not completely included in the used model representations for ρ and Γ . At a high enough quality of the experimental data on the two-step cascades on ^{172}Yb there is a real possibility to evaluate an influence of the compensation parameters M on determination of the breaking thresholds of Cooper pairs.

4. RESULTS

4.1. On the Stability of Obtained Parameters. The results of analysis of the cascade gamma decay of ^{172}Yb using the empirical model describe with a high accuracy the intensity of the cascades with the total energies from $E_1 + E_2 = 8020$ keV to $E_1 + E_2 = 6823$ keV including the resolved local peaks above $E_{\text{ex}} \approx 5$ MeV (Fig. 5).




Fig. 5. The dependence of the total intensity of the cascades of ^{172}Yb to six final levels with $E_f \leq 1197$ keV on the energy of primary transition. Histogram is the experimental intensity with its errors obtained by the procedure [14]. Broken lines are the results of the best fits with different M coefficients. Triangles are intensity calculations using models [17] and [18]

In Figs. 6–8 are shown the best seven fits of the intensity of the cascades with different compensation parameters M . The initial M values were chosen in the interval $1 \leq M \leq 10$. The results of the description of the cascades' intensities with different initial M values showed that their fitted values are always bounded above (for $E1$ strength function $M \leq 5$, and for $M1$ strength function $M \leq 3$).

For ^{172}Yb , as in [8, 10], the stepwise structure in the level density at a growth of the excitation energy was discovered (Fig. 6) at a decrease in the density of the levels of vibrational type between the breaking thresholds of Cooper pairs. This result is in qualitative agreement with the model representations both from [16], about the behavior of the density of vibrational levels (see Fig. 4), and from [20], where a rapid rise of density of the quasi-particle levels at each Cooper pairs' breaking threshold was predicted.




Fig. 6. The excitation energy dependences of the level densities for ^{172}Yb . Solid lines are the level densities obtained from approximations of the total intensity $I_{\gamma\gamma}$ of the cascades to six final levels with $E_f \leq 1197$ keV at varied M (6). Dashed and dotted lines are calculations of ρ value using the model [17] with and without taking into account the shell inhomogeneities of a single-particle spectrum [16], correspondingly. Fit without taking into account the parameter M is shown by an arrow

The probable values of $E1$ and $M1$ radiative strength functions for ^{172}Yb nucleus in relation to the energies of primary transitions of the cascades (with taking into account a contribution of a negative (below neutron binding energy) resonance) are presented separately in Fig. 7. In Fig. 8 the sums of $E1$ and $M1$ radiative strength functions are shown. The results were obtained under condition that a part of decays of the compound state with the spin $J = 1$ is 60%.

Figures 5 and 6 indicate that the compensation coefficients M have almost no influence on the calculated cascade intensities and relatively weakly change the level density near the breaking thresholds of the first, the second and the third Cooper pairs. And Figs. 7 and 8 show that the fittings with the parameter M noticeably change the strength functions. A more essential fact is that at $M > 2$ in the fitted spectra of radiative strength functions the local peak with the center at $E_1 \approx 1$ MeV appears (near excitation energy, where there is a breaking point for the fourth Cooper pair). An increment of the strength function in the point of breaking the fourth Cooper pair, as for ^{172}Yb , would also be expected for a number of nuclei with noticeable excess intensity of the cascades near $E_1 = 1-2$ MeV [8, 10].

At the fits with $M > 2$ the positions of both the peak of the electrical strength functions at the energy of primary transitions near 6 MeV and the peak




Fig. 7. The strength functions $k(E1)$ and $k(M1)$ of $E1$ transitions (top picture) and $M1$ transitions (bottom picture) obtained for the energy of primary transition in the interval $0.52 < E_1 < 6$ MeV at varied parameter M (6). Triangles are calculation of the strength function of $E1$ transitions using the model [18] in a sum with $k(M1) = \text{const}$. Two fits without taking into account the parameter M are shown by arrows

of the magnet strength functions near $E_1 = 4$ MeV (see Fig. 7) correspond to the breaking points of Cooper pairs of ^{172}Yb nucleus.

At the fits with $M = 1$, in the energy dependence of the $E1$ radiative strength function, the peak of the strongest resolved cascade ($5540 + 2402$) keV near $E_1 = 6$ MeV is not observed (according to [15], its relatively strong intensity is due to existing negative resonance). Nevertheless, such an intense peak is not observed at a capture of 2 keV neutrons, so it would be a large random deviation.

4.2. On a Connection between the Parameters of the Gamma Decay and the Compound-State Spin. Cascading γ decay of the neutron resonance of a target




Fig. 8. Dependences of the sums of $E1$ and $M1$ radiative strength functions on the energy of primary transition. Points with errors connected by dashed line are the best seven fits with varied M (6). Triangles are $E1$ strength function calculated using the model [18] in a sum with $k(M1) = \text{const}$

with odd number of neutrons (or/and protons) happens through dipole $E1$ and $M1$ transitions practically in 100% of decays. Mixtures of dipole and quadrupole gamma transitions are present in the γ -decay process and have an influence on the values of the strength functions, but their existence in the two-step cascades of all the nuclei investigated earlier was not identified.

The high resolution of the detectors for the cascade recording allows one to investigate a dependence of the parameters of the nuclear superfluidity on the spins of the excited levels and on their parities at varied excitation energy. At the fitting an unknown ratio of the densities of levels with different parities in the interval of excitation energy $E_d \leq E_{\text{ex}} \leq B_n$ (E_d is the upper energy boundary of the area of discrete levels) was taken as $r = \rho(\pi^-)/(\rho(\pi^-) + \rho(\pi^+))$, where $\rho(\pi^-)$ and $\rho(\pi^+)$ are the densities of levels with negative and positive parities, correspondingly. In all calculations for ^{172}Yb , $r = 0.5$ at $B_n = 8$ MeV (it is a now generally accepted hypothesis) and r varied from 0 to 1 at $E_{\text{ex}} = E_d$ (at $E_d < E_{\text{ex}} < B_n$ the r value was taken from corresponding linear extrapolations).

Capture cross sections of thermal neutron by ^{171}Yb nucleus composed by known resonances to the compound states $J = 1$ and $J = 0$ are $\sigma_1 = 4$ b and $\sigma_0 = 1.8$ b, correspondingly. And the capture cross section from the negative resonance is 42.8 b [26]. The existence of the negative resonance with unknown spin influences the fitting results (and the calculated values of the breaking thresholds). Under selection rules on multipolarity, at the decay of compound state with the

spin $J = 0$ the two-step cascade excites the final levels with spins $I = 0, 1, 2$, and at the decay of the compound state with $J = 1$ the final levels with $I = 0, 1, 2$ and 3 are excited.

It is possible to evaluate an influence of the spin of the compound state on the fitted parameters, which describe the experimental intensities in the present experiment, from calculated dependences of intensities on a ratio $\sigma_1/(\sigma_1 + \sigma_0)$. This ratio determines a contribution of a neutron capture by the compound states with spin $J = 1$ to the total capture cross section $\sigma_1 + \sigma_0$. The calculated total intensities for a given ratio $\sigma_1/(\sigma_1 + \sigma_0)$ (points connected by lines) are shown in Fig. 9 for the cascades to eight final levels of ^{172}Yb . Six of the final levels presented in Fig. 9 have energy $E_f > 1$ MeV. The best fits for the level density and for the strength functions (Figs. 6–8) are used in calculations of $I_{\gamma\gamma}$ for these cascades. The experimentally obtained parts of intensities for the sums of two strongest cascades and of four cascades with the energies 1043, 1118, 1155 and 1198 keV are 0.203(11) and 0.078(11), correspondingly.

If the negative resonance has a spin $J = 0$, the calculations (Fig. 9) show that the levels with $E_f = 1172$ keV ($I = 3^+$) and $E_f = 1221$ keV ($I = 3^-$) cannot be excited more often than in one case per 1000 decays. If the negative resonance has a spin $J = 1$, these cascades have intensities up to 1% per decay. From a comparison of calculations, which are presented in Fig. 9, the ratio $\sigma_1/(\sigma_1 + \sigma_0)$ can be evaluated by a value of 30 to 60%.

In dependence on the spin of negative resonance the calculated sum of the intensities of the cascades to the ground and first exciting states changes from 18.8 to 19.6% per decay.

The obtained intensities of the cascades with $E_f = 0$ ($I = 0$) and $E_f = 78$ keV ($I = 2$) clearly demonstrate an anti-correlation between calculated intensities of the cascades to these final levels, when the part of cross section of a capture to the state with $J = 1$ changes.

CONCLUSIONS

In the present experiment the specific behavior of the gamma decay, discovered by us earlier for 43 nuclei, was confirmed for ^{172}Yb nucleus. The intensities of the two-step cascades in the ^{172}Yb nucleus were determined with the best accuracy among all the investigated even-even nuclei.

From the experimental distributions $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ of the cascades to different final levels at a capture of thermal neutrons by ^{171}Yb nucleus, the most probable value of the breaking threshold for the second Cooper pair was obtained with an uncertainty less than 0.5 MeV, and the breaking thresholds for the third and the fourth Cooper pairs were determined with some bigger uncertainties. A demonstrable connection between the breaking thresholds and the spin of the




Fig. 9. The calculated total intensities of the cascades for a given ratio $\sigma_1/(\sigma_1 + \sigma_0)$ of a capture cross section by the compound states with spin $J = 1$ to the total capture cross section (points connected by lines). The energies of the final levels are denoted by arrows (in keV). Points with errors are the experimental intensities of the explored cascades

neutron resonance was not discovered in the analysis. For ^{172}Yb (as for all the investigated deformed nuclei) the obtained value of the breaking thresholds for the fourth Cooper pair satisfies the condition $U_4 \leq B_n$.

All the obtained dependences ($k(E1)$, $k(M1)$ and $\rho(E_{ex})$) for ^{172}Yb have similar shapes as compared with the ones for ^{174}Yb [9, 10]. The existence of the stepwise structure in the $\rho(E_{ex})$ distribution with close breaking thresholds of Cooper pairs for two ytterbium isotopes can be considered as an observation of common pattern of the gamma decay for these deformed nuclei. A comparison of the level density ρ obtained from approximation of the intensity of the cascades to two final levels (Fig. 4) and analogous data for six cascades (Fig. 6) showed a weak dependence of ρ on the structure of the wave functions of rotational and vibrational final levels of ^{172}Yb . The accuracy of the $I_{\gamma\gamma}(E_1)$ experimental distribution allows us to declare that the superfluid phase of the nuclear matter exists and we can observe its change with a growth of the excitation energy.

For ^{172}Yb the energy dependencies of $E1$ and $M1$ radiative strength functions are similar, on the whole, to the ones obtained earlier for the other even-even deformed nuclei. Some difference can be explained by both errors of the experiment and imperfection of the model representations of ρ and Γ parameters of the investigated nucleus.

For a further research of the cascade gamma decay of the compound states, new models are needed which would be able to describe the dipole radiative strength function and the level density at all the excitation energies of the investigated nucleus. First of all, it is necessary to change a phenomenological coefficient of vibrational enhancement of the level density by a modern appropriate model. The new models should take into account a dependence of ρ and Γ on spin, parity, quantum number K , etc., and, for an objectivity of obtained results at a study of the change dynamics of superfluid nuclear properties, the required models should have a possibility to describe the nucleus both as a pure fermion system and as a pure boson one, as special cases.

One can expect a heavy potential for investigation of the superfluidity of excited nuclei, when for the cascades intensity recording the system of great number of HPGe detectors would be used (for the separation of the cascades with different multiplicity of quanta and with intensities up to 90% of the total intensity of primary transitions), and at a study of the decay of compound states with emission of two divers reaction products.

REFERENCES

1. Boneva S. T. *et al.* // Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **22**, 232 (1991).
2. Malov L. A., Soloviev V. G. // Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **26**, 384 (1977).
3. Soloviev V. G. Theory of Atomic Nuclei. Quasi-particle and Phonons. Bristol and Philadelphia: IPP, 1992.
4. Soloviev V. G. // Sov. J. Phys. Part. Nucl. **3**, 390 (1972).
5. Porter C. F. and Thomas R. G. // Phys. Rev. **104**, 483 (1956).
6. Sukhovej A. M. // Phys. Atom. Nucl. **78**, 230 (2015).
7. Sukhovej A. M. and Mitsyna L. V. // Proc. XXII Intern. Seminar on Interaction of Neutrons with Nuclei, Dubna, May 2014. Dubna, 2015. P. 245; <http://isinn.jinr.ru/past-isinns.html>.
8. Sukhovej A. M., Mitsyna L. V., Jovancevich N. // Phys. Atom. Nucl. **79**, 313 (2016).
9. Vu D. C. *et al.* // Yad. Fiz. **80**, 113 (2017) [Phys. Atom. Nucl. **80**, 237 (2017)].
10. Vu D. C. *et al.* JINR Preprint E3-2016-43. Dubna, 2016.
11. Sukhovej A. M., Khitrov V. A. // Instrum. Exp. Tech. **27**, 1071 (1984).
12. Popov Yu. P. *et al.* // Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **48**, 1830 (1984).
13. <http://www-nds.iaea.org/ENDSF>.

14. Boneva S. T., Sukhovej A. M., Khitrov V. A., and Voinov A. V. // Nucl. Phys. **589**, 293 (1995).
15. Greenwood R. C., Reich C. W., and Egors S. H., Jr. // Nucl. Phys. **262**, 260 (1975).
16. Ignatyuk A. V. Report INDC-233(L). Vienna: IAEA, 1985.
17. Dilg W., Schantl W., Vonach H., and Uhl M. // Nucl. Phys. A **217**, 269 (1973).
18. Kadenskij S. G., Markushev V. P., and Furman W. I. // Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **37**, 165 (1983).
19. Reference Input Parameter Library RIPL-2. Handbook for calculations of nuclear reaction data, IAEA-TECDOC, 2002.
20. Strutinsky V. M. // Proc. Intern. Congress on Nuclear Physics, Paris, France, 1958. P. 617.
21. Sukhovej A. M., Khitrov V. A. // Phys. Atom. Nucl. **76**, 68 (2015).
22. Pronyaev V. G. et al. // Phys. Atom. Nucl. **30**, 310 (1979).
23. Sukhovej A. M., Khitrov V. A. // Phys. Atom. Nucl. **73**, 1554 (2010).
24. Bohle D. et al. // Phys. Lett. B. **137**, 27 (1984).
25. Jovancevich N., Sukhovej A. M., Furman W. I., and Khitrov V. A. // Proc. XX Intern. Seminar on Interaction of Neutrons with Nuclei, Dubna, May 2012. Dubna, 2013. P. 157; <http://isinn.jinr.ru/past-isinns.html>.
26. Mughabhab S. F. Neutron Cross Sections, BNL-325. V. **1**, Part B, NY: Academic Press, 1984.

Received on October 23, 2017.

Редактор *Е. И. Кравченко*

Подписано в печать 22.11.2017.

Формат 60 × 90/16. Бумага офсетная. Печать офсетная.

Усл. печ. л. 1,31. Уч.-изд. л. 1,85. Тираж 210 экз. Заказ № 59280.

Издательский отдел Объединенного института ядерных исследований

141980, г. Дубна, Московская обл., ул. Жолио-Кюри, 6.

E-mail: publish@jinr.ru

www.jinr.ru/publish/