УДК 539.165

THE DOUBLE β DECAY EXPERIMENT NEMO-3

C.J.M.Longuemare

LPC, IN2P3-CNRS et Université de Caen, France For the NEMO collaboration¹

The NEMO collaboration is presently mounting the NEMO-3 detector in the Fréjus Underground Laboratory. This detector is devoted to the search of neutrinoless double beta decay with various isotopes. Much attention has been focused on 100 Mo and 82 Se with their large $Q_{\beta\beta}$ values. The detector is based on the direct detection of the two electrons by a tracking device and on the measurement of their energies by a calorimeter. The aim of the experiment is to have a sensitivity to the effective neutrino mass of the order of 0.1 eV. The status and the expected performance of the NEMO-3 detector for both internal and external background rejections and for signal detection are presented.

Коллаборация NEMO работает над созданием детектора NEMO-3 в подземной лаборатории Фреджюс. Этот детектор, работа над которым будет завершена в конце 2000 г., предназначен для поиска безнейтринного двойного бета-распада различных изотопов. Особое внимание направлено на изучение 100 Мо и 82 Se в связи с большими значениями $Q_{\beta\beta}$. Принцип работы детектора основан на непосредственной регистрации треков двух электронов и измерении их энергии при помощи калориметра. Целью эксперимента является достижение чувствительности детектора к эффективной массе нейтрино на уровне 0,1 эВ. Представлены современное состояние детектора NEMO-3, а также ожидаемые сигнал и способы подавления внутреннего и внешнего фона.

INTRODUCTION

Several strong indications in favor of neutrino masses and mixing have been observed in atmospheric and solar neutrinos. However, direct detection of neutrino masses has not been measured. The most stringent upper limit obtained by tritium beta decay is $m_{\nu} < 2.8$ eV (95 % C.L.) [1]. Another fundamental question of neutrino physics is the nature of massive neutrinos. Are massive neutrinos Dirac particles or neutral Majorana particles having all lepton numbers equal to zero? The neutrinoless double beta decay $\beta\beta(0\nu)$, which is a process beyond the electroweak Standard Model, is the only way to prove the existence of Majorana neutrinos. In some phenomenologically viable neutrino scenarios, the effective Majorana neutrino mass $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle$ can be 0.1 eV (in a three-neutrino scenario with two massdegenerate neutrinos) or even as large as 1 eV (in a four-neutrino scenario which accomodates all the oscillation measurements) [2].

To date, the most stringent limit on the $\beta\beta(0\nu)$ half-life is obtained in the ⁷⁶Ge Heidelberg–Moscow experiment [3]:

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 1.6 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ y (90 \% C.L.)}.$$
 (1)

¹CENBG IN2P3-CNRS et Université de Bordeaux, France; CFR, CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette, France; FNSPE, Prague University, Czech Republic; INEEL, Idaho Falls, USA; INR, Kiev, Ukraine; IReS, IN2P3-CNRS et Université de Strasbourg, France; ITEP, Moscow, Russia; JINR, Dubna; Jyvaskyla University, Finland; LAL, IN2P3-CNRS et Université Paris-Sud, France; LPC, IN2P3-CNRS et Université de Caen, France; Mount Holyoke College, USA.

From this limit, an upper limit on $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle$ can be inferred with the relation

$$(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} = \left(\frac{\langle m_{\nu} \rangle}{m_e}\right)^2 |M_{0\nu}|^2 F_{0\nu},\tag{2}$$

where $M_{0\nu}$ is the nuclear matrix element of the relevant isotope and $F_{0\nu}$ is the phase-space factor. Calculations of $M_{0\nu}$ have unfortunately large theoretical uncertainties. Depending on the calculation of $M_{0\nu}$, one obtains limits on $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle$ ranging from 0.4 to 1 eV [3]. The limit $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle < 1$ eV is obtained by using calculations performed in the framework of the Shell Model [4]. $F_{0\nu}$ is analytically calculable and is proportional to $Q_{\beta\beta}^5$ ($Q_{\beta\beta}=2040~{\rm keV}$ for 76 Ge). Therefore, to improve the sensitivity, an isotope with a larger $Q_{\beta\beta}$ seems to be preferable in order to get a larger $F_{0\nu}$, but also to reduce the background in the search for a $\beta\beta0\nu$ signal.

The aim of the NEMO-3 detector, which will operate in the Fréjus Underground Laboratory, referred to as the Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane (LSM), is to search for $\beta\beta(0\nu)$ with various isotopes with large $Q_{\beta\beta}$ values. The detector is able to accommodate at least 10 kg of double beta decay isotopes. Much attention has been focused on 100 Mo ($Q_{\beta\beta}=3034~{\rm keV}$), 82 Se $(Q_{\beta\beta}=2995~{\rm keV})$ and 116 Cd $(Q_{\beta\beta}=2802~{\rm keV})$.

1. THE NEMO-3 DETECTOR

The NEMO-3 experiment is based on the direct detection of the two electrons by a tracking device and on the measurement of their energies by a calorimeter. The NEMO-3 detector, as shown in Fig. 1, is similar in function to the earlier prototype NEMO-2 [5].




Fig. 1. Layout of the NEMO-3 detector

The detector is cylindrical in design and divided into 20 equal sectors. Thin ($\sim 50~\mu m$) source foils are fixed vertically between two concentric cylindrical tracking volumes composed of open octagonal drift cells, 270 cm long, operating in Geiger mode. In order to minimize multiple scattering effects, the tracking volume is filled with helium gas mixed with a small fraction (4 %) of ethyl alcohol. The wire chamber provides three-dimensional tracking. The tracking volume is covered with calorimeters made of large blocks of plastic scintillators coupled to very low radioactivity 3" and 5" PMTs. The finished detector contains 6,180 drift-Geiger cells and 1,940 scintillators.

A solenoid surrounding the detector produces a magnetic field of 30 Gs in order to recognize (e^+e^-) pair production events in the source foils. An external shield, in the form of 20 cm thick low-radioactivity iron, covers the detector to reduce γ rays and thermal neutron fluxes. Outside this shield, an additional shield is added to thermalize fast neutrons.

2. CURRENT STATUS OF CONSTRUCTION

The construction of the 20 sectors of the NEMO-3 detector has been completed. Currently, 12 sectors are in the Underground Laboratory and 6 of them are equipped with their source foils and mounted on the detector frame. The detector will be completed by the end of 2000.

The energy resolution of each scintillator block has been measured with a 1 MeV electron spectrometer during the construction of the calorimeter. The energy resolution is $\sigma(E)/E = 5.6 \%$ at 1 MeV, which is lower than the energy resolution of 7 % at 1 MeV specified in the detector's proposal.

The double β decay isotopes which are being mounted in the detector are the following: 7 kg of 100 Mo (corresponding to 12 sectors), 1 kg of 82 Se (2.3 sectors), 0.6 kg of 116 Cd (1 sector), 0.7 kg of 130 Te (1.8 sectors), 50 g of 150 Nd, 16 g of 96 Zr and 8 g of 48 Ca. Also, 2.7 sectors are devoted to external background measurements: 1 sector is equipped with an




Fig. 2. Transverse views of two Geiger tracks measured with the first 3 sectors of NEMO-3 running in the Fréjus Underground Laboratory. Small open circles are the activated Geiger cells, the different radii correspond to the transverse drift distance to the anodic wire

ultra-pure copper foil and 1.7 sectors with 0.9 kg of ^{nat}TeO₂. To date, ⁸²Se, ¹¹⁶Cd, ^{nat}TeO₂ and the copper foils are mounted. The choice of Cu and natTeO2 is explained below. We are now starting to mount the ¹⁰⁰Mo sources.

Three sectors installed on the detector frame have been successfully running since the end of April 2000 (without a magnetic field and an external shield). The NEMO collaboration has decided to start operating with these 3 sectors in order to test the tracking and calorimeter parts of the detector. The wire chamber and the PMTs coupled to the scintillators are running well and only 0.3 % of Geiger cells are out of order. Geiger β tracks obtained with these 3 sectors and with the finalized NEMO-3 trigger and acquisition system are shown in Fig. 2 a,b.

3. EXPECTED BACKGROUND

There are three origins of expected background which can occur in this search for a $\beta\beta0\nu$ signal around 3 MeV. The first background comes from the beta decays of 214 Bi ($Q_{\beta} =$ 3.2 MeV) and 208 Tl ($Q_{\beta} = 5.0$ MeV) which are present in the source, from the uranium and thorium decay chains. They can mimic $\beta\beta$ events by β emission followed by Möller effect or by a $\beta - \gamma$ cascade followed by a Compton interaction. Thus, the experiment requires ultra-pure enriched $\beta\beta$ isotopes. A second origin of $\beta\beta0\nu$ background is due to high-energy gamma rays (> 2.6 MeV) interacting with the source foil. Their origin is from neutron captures occurring inside the detector. The interactions of these gammas in the foil can lead to 2 electrons by e^+e^- pair creation, double Compton scattering or Compton followed by Möller scattering. Finally, given the energy resolution, the ultimate background is the tail of the $\beta\beta2\nu$ decay distribution. It defines the half-life limits to which the $\beta\beta0\nu$ can be studied.

3.1. Radiopurity of the Sources in $^{214}\mathrm{Bi}$ and $^{208}\mathrm{Tl}$.

3.1.1. 100 Mo Source. Maximum levels of 214 Bi and 208 Tl contamination in the source have been calculated to insure that $\beta\beta2\nu$ is the limiting background. These limits are ^{214}Bi < $0.3~{\rm mBq/kg}$ and $^{208}{\rm Tl}$ < $0.02~{\rm mBq/kg}$. These activities in $^{214}{\rm Bi}$ and $^{208}{\rm Tl}$ correspond to a level of $2\cdot 10^{-11}~{\rm g/g}$ in $^{238}{\rm U}$ and $10^{-11}~{\rm g/g}$ in $^{232}{\rm Th}$ respectively when we assume the natural radioactive families of ²³⁸U and ²³²Th are in equilibrium. To reach these specifications, two methods have been developed to purify the enriched molybdenum isotope.

The first method, developed by ITEP (Moscow, Russia), is a purification by local melting of solid Mo with an electron beam and drawing a monocrystal from the liquid portion. One gets an ultra-pure 100Mo monocrystal. The crystal is then rolled into a metallic foil for use in the detector. Much attention has been focused on this rolling process. To date, 0.5 kg of foil has been produced and no contaminant activity has been measured with HPGe detectors in the LSM.

The second purification method is a chemical process done at INEEL (Idaho, USA) which leaves the Mo in a powder form that is then used to produce foils with a binding paste and mylar strips which have been etched with an ion beam and a chemical process. To date, 3 kg of ¹⁰⁰Mo have been purified and 2 kg more are being processed and will be ready towards the end of September 2000. No activity has been observed in the purified 100Mo after 1-month measurements with the use of HPGe detectors in the LSM and the most stringent limits obtained for radiopurities are ²¹⁴Bi < 0.2 mBq/kg and ²⁰⁸Tl < 0.05 mBq/kg. The radiopurity in ²¹⁴Bi is already better than the design specifications. The task of measuring the required limits for ²⁰⁸Tl is beyond the practical measuring limits of the HPGe detectors in the LSM. However, the chemical extraction factors defined as the ratio of contamination before and after purification were measured with a $^{\rm nat}$ Mo sample. Applying the 208 Tl extraction factor to the 208 Tl activity measured in the 100 Mo before purification, one obtains after purification an expected level in 208 Tl of 0.01 mBq/kg which is again lower than the design specifications.

3.1.2. $^{82}\mathrm{Se}$ Source. Some low activities in $^{214}\mathrm{Bi}$ and $^{208}\mathrm{Tl}$ have been measured in the 1 kg $^{82}\mathrm{Se}$ source foils with HPGe studies. The activities are 1.2 ± 0.5 mBq/kg in $^{214}\mathrm{Bi}$ and 0.4 ± 0.1 mBq/kg in $^{208}\mathrm{Tl}$. This corresponds to an expected background of 0.2 events/y/kg from $^{214}\mathrm{Bi}$ and 1 event/y/kg from $^{208}\mathrm{Tl}$. The same contamination had been measured with $^{82}\mathrm{Se}$ foils used in the NEMO-2 prototype and contaminants were found to be concentrated in small «hot-spots» and rejected in the analysis thanks to the tracking device [6]. We believe that the contamination in these $^{82}\mathrm{Se}$ foils is identical and will be suppressed by software analysis.

3.2. External Background from Neutrons and γ **Rays.** The effect of neutrons and γ rays on the background in the $\beta\beta0\nu$ energy region was studied for 10,700 hours of lifetime with the NEMO-2 prototype [7]. Various shields and measurements with a neutron source were used to identify the different components.

This study has shown that there is no contribution from thermal neutrons which are stopped in a few centimeters of the iron shielding but that the dominating background is due to fast neutrons (> 1 MeV) from the laboratory. Fast neutrons, going through the iron shielding, are thermalized in the plastic scintillators and then captured in copper, iron or hydrogen inside the detector. To compare the data and Monte Carlo calculations, a study required the development of an interface between GEANT/MICAP and a new library for γ -ray emission after capture or inelastic scattering of neutrons. Good agreement was obtained between the experiments and simulations.

It was demonstrated with the neutron simulations for NEMO-3 that an appropriate neutron shield (like paraffin) and a 30 G magnetic shield will make the neutron background negligible [7].

3.3. Radiopurity of the Detector. Additionally, the components of the detector have to be ultra-pure in 214 Bi, 208 Tl and 40 K to have a low background in the $\beta\beta2\nu$ energy spectrum. This is required to not only measure the $\beta\beta2\nu$ period with high accuracy but also to see any distortions in the $\beta\beta2\nu$ spectrum due to majoron emission. Finally, the high radiopurity is required so that we can measure the $e\gamma$ and $e\gamma\gamma$ events which identify the Tl activity in the source.

The activities of all the materials used in the detector were measured with HPGe detectors in the LSM or at the CENBG laboratory in Bordeaux (France). This exhausting examination of samples, corresponding to about 1000 measurements, resulted in the rejection of numerous glues, plastics, and metals. Activities in ²¹⁴Bi, ²⁰⁸Tl and ⁴⁰K for the main components of the detector are listed in Table 1.

As expected, the radioactive contamination in the detector is dominated by the low-radioactivity glass in the PMTs. The activity of these PMTs are three orders of magnitude below standard PMT levels. With a total activity of 300 Bq for 214 Bi and 18 Bq for 208 Tl in the 600 kg of PMTs, the expected signal-to-background ratio (S/B) in the integrated $\beta\beta2\nu$ energy spectrum is $S/B\sim400$ from 214 Bi and $S/B\sim900$ from 208 Tl with 7 kg of 100 Mo ($T_{1/2}(\beta\beta2\nu)=0.95\cdot10^{19}$ y). This ratio becomes about 10 times smaller with 82 Se since its $\beta\beta2\nu$ half-life is about 10 times larger ($T_{1/2}(\beta\beta2\nu)=0.8\cdot10^{20}$ y).

Activities of all the other components are under measurement sensitivity and negligeable compared to the PMTs.

Table 1. Tot	al activities for the	e main componen	s of the NI	EMO-3 detector	, measured v	with HPGe
detectors in t	the Fréjus Undergi	round Laboratory				

Components	Weight, kg	Total activity, Bq			
		40 K	²¹⁴ Bi	²⁰⁸ Tl	⁶⁰ Co
PMTs	600	830	300	18	
Scintil.	5,000	<100	< 0.7	< 0.3	1.8 ± 0.4
Copper	25,000	<125	<25	<10	<6
Petals iron	10,000	< 50	<6	<8	17 ± 4
μ metal	2,000	<17	<2	2.0 ± 0.7	4.3 ± 0.7
Wires	1.7	$<8\cdot10^{-3}$	$< 10^{-3}$	$<6\cdot10^{-4}$	10^{-2}
Shield. iron	180,000	< 3000	< 300	< 300	300 ± 100

- 3.4. $^{\mathrm{nat}}$ TeO $_2$ and Copper Foils to Measure External Background. Foils of $^{\mathrm{nat}}$ TeO $_2$ inserted into the NEMO-3 detector allow one to measure the external background for ¹⁰⁰Mo. The effective Z of these foils is nearly the same as that of molybdenum foils. This is useful because the external γ -ray background can give rise to pair production, double Compton or Compton-Möller scattering, which are all proportional to \mathbb{Z}^2 . Thus, the background for the ¹⁰⁰Mo and ^{nat}TeO₂ foils should give rise to similar event rates. However, ^{nat}TeO₂, which is 34.5 % 130 TeO₂, produces no $\beta\beta$ pairs in the energy region above the $Q_{\beta\beta}$ value of 130 TeO₂ (2.53 MeV), so a background subtraction is possible for the ¹⁰⁰Mo foils given the spectrum of $^{\text{nat}}\text{TeO}_2$. The copper foils provide a similar study for a smaller value of Z.
- 3.5. Number of Background Events in the $\beta\beta0\nu$ Energy Region. The expected numbers of background events in the energy range 2.8 to 3.2 MeV around the $\beta\beta0\nu$ signal peak are summarized in Table 2 for ¹⁰⁰Mo and ⁸²Se.

Table 2. Expected number of background events, in the energy window 2.8 to 3.2 MeV, per year per kg. For 82Se, it is believed that the background from 214Bi and 208Tl will be limited to «hot-spots» (see the text)

Events/y/kg	¹⁰⁰ Mo foil	⁸² Se foil
²¹⁴ Bi	< 0.03	negl.
²⁰⁸ Tl	< 0.04	negl.
etaeta2 u	0.11	0.01
External neutrons	< 0.01	< 0.01
Total	< 0.18	0.01

4. EXPECTED SENSITIVITY OF NEMO-3

The sensitivity the NEMO-3 detector will reach after 5 years of data collection has been calculated with 7 kg of 100 Mo and 1 kg of 82 Se. After 5 years, in the energy window 2.8 to 3.2 MeV, a total of 6 background events are expected with 7 kg of ¹⁰⁰Mo and no background events are expected with 1 kg of 82 Se. The $\beta\beta0\nu$ detection efficiency in the same energy window, 2.8 to 3.2 MeV, is $\epsilon(\beta\beta0\nu) = 14$ %. The expected sensitivities are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Expected sensitivity (90 % C.L.) for NEMO-3 after 5 years of data collection with 7 kg of 100 Mo and 1 kg of 82 Se. The number of events are given in the energy cuts from 2.8 to 3.2 MeV around the $\beta\beta0\nu$ signal peak, the range in $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle$ is due to the variation of the nuclear matrix elements (see (2))

Running time is 5 years	7 kg ¹⁰⁰ Mo	1 kg ⁸² Se
Expected number of events	6 background events	0 background events
if	6 events observed	0 events observed
from 2.8 to 3.2 MeV	$5 \beta \beta 0 \nu$ excluded	$2.5 \beta \beta 0 \nu$ excluded
$T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$	$> 4.10^{24} \text{ y}$	$> 1.5 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ y}$
$\langle m_{ u} angle$	< 0.25-0.7 eV	< 0.6–1.2 eV

REFERENCES

- 1. Weinheimer Ch. et al. // Phys. Lett. B. 1999. V. 460. P. 219-226.
- 2. Bilenki S.M. et al. // Phys. Lett. B. 1999. V. 465. P. 193–202.
- 3. Baudis L. et al. // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999. V. 83. P. 41-44.
- 4. Caurier E. et al. // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996. V. 77. P. 1954-1957.
- 5. Arnold R. et al. // Nucl. Instr. Meth. A. 1995. V. 354. P. 338-351.
- 6. Arnold R. et al. // Nucl. Phys. A. 1998. V. 636. P. 209-223.
- 7. Marquet Ch. et al. // To be published.